

**Surname** (please print): \_\_\_\_\_

**First Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Id.#:** \_\_\_\_\_

**University of Waterloo  
Final Examination  
Fall 2007**

Course Number	CO 456
Course Title	Introduction to Game Theory
Instructor	David Pritchard
Date and Time	7:30–10 PM, December 14, 2007
Duration	2.5 Hours
Number of Pages	5 (including cover sheet)
Exam Type	<b>Closed Book</b> with 1 page of prepared notes.
Materials Allowed	Letter-size 2-sided note sheet in your own handwriting.
Instructions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Fill in the details at the top right.</li><li>2. Be sure to read <i>all</i> questions. They do not necessarily appear in increasing order of difficulty.</li><li>3. There are 8 questions in this exam; the 8th question is a bonus question. Write your answers in the space provided, and use the back of the previous page for additional space.</li><li>4. You may not use calculators.</li></ol>

## #1. Short Answer (12 points)

Please circle “true” or “false”.

- (a) (3 points) Every strategic game with a finite number of players and actions has a mixed Nash equilibrium. (*Note, a pure Nash equilibrium also counts as a mixed Nash equilibrium.*)

True

False

- (b) (3 points) In an extensive game without simultaneous or chance moves, with a finite number of players and histories, if there are two distinct subgame perfect equilibria  $s$  and  $s'$ , then for each player  $i$  the utilities  $u_i(\mathcal{O}(s))$  and  $u_i(\mathcal{O}(s'))$  for their two outcomes are the same.

True

False

- (c) (3 points) In a Nim game where the initial position has four piles of size 3, 6, 9, 12, the first player has a winning strategy.

True

False

- (d) (3 points) In a potential game with a finite number of players and actions, best response dynamics always end in a global minimum of the potential function. (*Action profile  $a$  is a global minimum if  $\Phi(a) \leq \Phi(a')$  for all  $a' \in A$ .*)

True

False

## #2. Mixed Equilibria Of Strategic Games (12 points)

Suppose that, in a 2-player strategic game, there exists a mixed strategy  $\alpha_1$  for player 1 and two mixed strategies  $\alpha'_2, \alpha''_2$  for player 2 so that both  $(\alpha_1, \alpha'_2)$  and  $(\alpha_1, \alpha''_2)$  are Nash equilibria. Define a third mixed strategy  $\alpha_2$  for player 2 by

$$\text{for each } a_2 \in A_2, \quad \alpha_2(a_2) = \frac{\alpha'_2(a_2) + \alpha''_2(a_2)}{2}.$$

Informally,  $\alpha_2 = (\alpha'_2 + \alpha''_2)/2$ . Show that  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  is a Nash equilibrium. (Hint: consider the Support Characterization.)

## #3. Modeling Extensive Games (9 points)

The Team 1 “Wonders” and Team 2 “Tooters” have advanced to the final game of the UW Blurnsball League! At the start of every game of Blurnsball, two decisions need to be made:

- One team *sends* (S) and the other *receives* (R); someone needs to decide which team does what.
- One team plays *eastwards* (E) and the other plays *westwards* (W); someone needs to decide which team does what.

Overall, Team 1 is ahead in the standings. The rules of Blurnsball state: “First, the team that is ahead in the standings makes one of the two decisions. Then, the other team makes the other decision.” For example, Team 1 could pick “Team 1 is E and Team 2 is W,” after which Team 2 could pick “Team 1 is S and Team 2 is R.”

Each team attaches a value to each of the 4 possibilities, as shown in the following table. Each team wants its value to be as large as possible, and doesn’t care about the value of the other team.

Team 1 gets	Team 2 gets	Value to Team 1	Value to Team 2
SE	RW	0	2
SW	RE	2	1
RE	SW	3	0
RW	SE	1	3

- (4 points) Model this scenario as an extensive game; specifically, give a picture of the game tree that includes the payoffs for each terminal history and indicates the player to move at each nonterminal history.
- (3 points) Find all subgame perfect equilibria of this game.
- (2 points) For each SPE found in part (b): in the outcome generated by this SPE, what decision does team 1 make, and what decision does team 2 make?

## #4. Extensive Games With Simultaneous Moves (9 points)

Two twins have invested some of their money in a bond. The bond matures for four years; in year  $i$  the value of the bond is  $V_i$  dollars, where  $V_1 = 100, V_2 = 300, V_3 = 500, V_4 = 700$ .

In each year, both of the twins need to independently and simultaneously decide whether or not to “cash in” the bond. In year  $i$ :

- If exactly one twin decides to cash in, she gets  $\$V_i$ , the other twin gets  $\$0$ , and the game ends.
- If both twins decide to cash in, each one gets  $\$V_i/2$  and the game ends.
- If neither twin decides to cash in, the game continues for another year. (Assume that in the 4th year, both twins *have to* cash in.)

- (a) (4 points) Model this scenario as an extensive game with simultaneous moves.
- (b) (3 points) Find all subgame perfect equilibria of this game.
- (c) (2 points) For each SPE found in part (b): what is the outcome generated by this SPE, and what are the payoffs?

## #5. Impartial Combinatorial Games (12 points)

The *antigrundy game* is an impartial combinatorial 2-player game played with piles of counters. The number of counters in each pile must be a positive integer. As usual, the last person to move wins the game. For this game, on your turn, you must do the following: *pick any pile and divide it into two or more piles of equal size*.

Let  $\hat{n}$  denote a pile of  $n$  counters in the antigrundy game. As an example, here is a sample run of the game starting from a single pile of 12 counters.

- Player 1 splits the pile of 12 into 2 piles each of size 6, leaving  $\hat{6} + \hat{6}$ .
- Player 2 splits a pile of 6 into 6 piles each of size 1, leaving  $\hat{6} + \hat{1} + \hat{1} + \hat{1} + \hat{1} + \hat{1} + \hat{1}$ .
- Player 1 splits the pile of 6 into 3 piles each of size 2, leaving  $\hat{2} + \hat{2} + \hat{2} + \hat{1} + \hat{1} + \hat{1} + \hat{1} + \hat{1} + \hat{1} + \hat{1}$ .
- In each of the next 3 turns, a pile of 2 is split into two piles of size 1. Thus player 2 makes the last move, and wins.

- (a) (3 points) Determine the set of all positive integers  $n$  for which  $\hat{n}$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -position.
- (b) (9 points) Compute  $g(\hat{60})$ . (Hint: you don't need to consider 60 values, e.g., you don't need to compute  $g(\hat{7})$ .)

## #6. Potential Games and Pure Equilibria (12 points)

(a) (8 points) A *symmetric 2-action game* is an  $n$ -player strategic game defined by constants  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  and  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}$  in the following way:

- $A_i = \{P, Q\}$  for each player  $i$
- For any action profile  $a$  let  $\pi(a)$  denote the number of players  $i$  for which  $a_i = P$ ; then we define the utility function for every player  $i$  by

$$u_i(a) = \begin{cases} p_{\pi(a)}, & \text{if } a_i = P; \\ q_{\pi(a)}, & \text{if } a_i = Q. \end{cases}$$

(Example: for  $n = 3$ , a symmetric 2-action game is as follows, where  $p_1, p_2, p_3, q_0, q_1, q_2$  are constants. The 3-player Prisoner's Dilemma had this form.)

$p1 \backslash p2$	P	Q
P	$p_3, p_3, p_3$	$p_2, q_2, p_2$
Q	$q_2, p_2, p_2$	$q_1, q_1, p_1$

$p3: P$

$p1 \backslash p2$	P	Q
P	$p_2, p_2, q_2$	$p_1, q_1, q_1$
Q	$q_1, p_1, q_1$	$q_0, q_0, q_0$

$p3: Q$

Show that every symmetric 2-action game has a pure Nash equilibrium, by showing that it is a potential game. (If you are unable to solve the general case, you can obtain half credit by proving the special case  $n = 3$ .)

(b) (4 points) Find a strategic 2-player game with the following properties:

- $A_1 = A_2 = S$  for some set  $S$  of actions such that  $|S| = 3$
- $u_1(x, y) = u_2(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in S$
- The game has no pure Nash equilibrium.

#7. VCG+Clarke (9 points)

In this problem we ask you to prove the result mentioned in class about multiunit auctions for the VCG mechanism. Specifically, suppose that there are  $k$  identical copies of an item for sale, and that each of  $n$  players wants to purchase one. (Assume  $n \geq k$ .) We can model this as the set of alternatives

$$\mathcal{A} = \{S \mid S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, |S| = k\}$$

where the alternative  $S \in \mathcal{A}$  represents that each player  $i \in S$  won one of the items. We assume that each player  $i$  attaches value 0 to not winning the item and a fixed value  $b_i \geq 0$  to winning the item, so

$$V_i = \{v_i \mid \exists b_i \geq 0 : v_i(S) = b_i \text{ for } i \in S; v_i(S) = 0 \text{ for } i \notin S\}.$$

Determine (with proof) the social choice function  $f$  and payment functions  $p_i$  that result from applying the VCG mechanism with Clarke pivot payments to the social choice setting  $(\mathcal{A}, V)$ . You can ignore cases where ties occur if you want. Once you obtain your final answer, please restate it briefly in words.

## #8. Bonus: Impartial Combinatorial Games With Chance Moves (5 points)

Consider the following variant of the 10-coin game, where there are 2 players as usual. At the start of the game, there is a pile of  $n$  coins. On your turn, you can take away either 1 or 2 coins from the pile. However, after each player's turn, with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ , one of the remaining coins disappears (if any are left). When it is a player's turn to move and there are no coins left, they lose and the other player wins.

For example, it is clear that the first player can win when  $n = 1$  or  $n = 2$ . However when  $n = 3$ , if both players play optimally, the first player wins with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Assuming optimal play by both players, what is the probability that the first player wins when  $n = 10$ ?